

it formerly belonged to the farm now claimed by the heirs of the late James Aird, deceased, who, it appears, quit-claimed to the above-named Pierre Charlefou.

This village lot appears formerly to have composed a part of farm lot No. 15, which farm lot also, by the testimony adduced, appears to have been in the exclusive possession of the claimant of it, and of those under whom he claims, since prior to the first of July, one thousand seven hundred and ninety-six.—(See abstracts of testimony to farm lot No. 15.)

*Upper village lot No. 4.—François Vertefeulle.*

Entry of land made this fifteenth day of October, one thousand eight hundred and twenty, by François Vertefeulle, which is described as follows, viz: it being upper village lot No. 4, bounded on the east by the present highway, on the north by land claimed by Pierre Charlefou, on the west by the upper marais, on the south by land claimed by Alexander Dumont, it being about four arpents in length and one and one-half arpent in width.

TESTIMONY.

Michael Brisbois, being duly sworn, depose and saith that, to his knowledge, the above-described tract of land has been continually occupied for about fifteen years last past; that it formerly belonged to the estate of James Aird or Augustin Hebert, who, it appears, quit-claimed to said Vertefeulle.

This village lot appears to have formerly composed a part of farm lots Nos. 15 and 16, which farm lot also, by the testimony adduced, appears to have been in the exclusive possession of the claimant of it, or of those under whom he claims, since prior to the first of July, one thousand seven hundred and ninety-six.—(See abstracts of testimony to farm lots Nos. 15 and 16.)

*Upper village lot No. 5.—Alexander Dumont.*

Entry of land made this fourteenth day of October, one thousand eight hundred and twenty, by Alexander Dumont, which is described as follows, viz: it being upper village lot No. 5, bounded on the east by the present highway, on the north by land claimed by François Vertefeulle, on the west by the upper marais, and on the south by land claimed by Augustus Hebert, it being four arpents in length and one arpent and thirty-six feet in width.

TESTIMONY.

Michael Brisbois and François Vertefeulle, being duly sworn, depose and say that, to their knowledge, the above-described tract of land has been continually occupied for the fifteen years last past, or about that time; that it formerly belonged to the farm claimed by Augustus Hebert.

This village lot appears formerly to have composed a part of farm lot No. 16, which farm lot also, by the testimony adduced, appears to have been in the exclusive possession of the claimant of it, or of those under whom he claims, since prior to the first of July, one thousand seven hundred and ninety-six.—(See testimony to farm lot No. 16.)

*Upper village lot No. 6.—Augustus Hebert.*

Entry of land made this fourteenth day of October, one thousand eight hundred and twenty, by Augustus Hebert, which is described as follows, viz: it being upper village lot No. 6, bounded on the north by land claimed by Alexander Dumont, on the west by the upper marais, on the south by land claimed by Joseph Rivard, and on the east by the present highway, and is about four arpents in length and two and one-half arpents in width.

TESTIMONY.

Michael Brisbois and François Vertefeulle, being duly sworn, depose and say that they have knowledge that the above-described tract of land has been continually occupied for about fifteen years last past; that it formerly belonged to the farm claimed by Jean Bt. Albert, who, it appears, quit-claimed to the above-named Augustus Hebert.

This village lot appears formerly to have composed a part of farm lot No. 17, which farm lot also, by the testimony adduced, appears to have been in the exclusive possession of the claimant of it, and of those under whom he claims, since prior to the first day of July, one thousand seven hundred and ninety-six.—(See testimony to farm lot No. 17.)

*Upper village lot No. 7.—Joseph Rivard.*

Entry of land made this fourteenth day of October, one thousand eight hundred and twenty, by Joseph Rivard, which is described as follows, viz: it being upper village lot No. 7, bounded on the east by the present highway, on the north by land claimed by Augustus Hebert, on the west by the upper marais, on the south by land claimed by Jean Bt. Albert; it being about four arpents in length and three and one-fourth arpents in width.

TESTIMONY.

Michael Brisbois and François Vertefeulle, being duly sworn, depose and say that they have knowledge that the above-described tract of land has been continually occupied for about fifteen years last past; that it formerly belonged to the farm claimed by Jean Bt. Albert, who, it appears, quit-claimed to said Joseph Rivard.

This village lot appears to have formerly composed a part of farm lot No. 17, which farm lot also, by the testimony adduced, appears to have been in the exclusive possession of the claimant of it, or of those under whom he claims, since prior to the first day of July, one thousand seven hundred and ninety-six.—(See abstracts of testimony to farm lot No. 17.)